OGC Has Reviewed proved For Release 2001/09/03 : CIA-RDP84-00709R000400070130

25X1A Chief,

25X1A

25X1A

Office of the Ceneral Counsel

l. Reference is made to your manoranion of 15 March 1349, requesting interpretation and clarification of Section 8 of the Act of August ? 80 Stat. 808 (Public Law 600) in the light of certain practices relating to the sale or scohange of various nor

expendable items of equipment.

2. You state (s) that it has been the practice of specifically with raspect to subcombiles, to pummiste the proceeds from the sale of autos and charge the entire costs of purchase to the first to the extent that gross proceeds of all sales exceed the cost of all purchases; (b) that because of administrative difficulty and expenses in interzone transfers, specific sales and specific purchases here not been tied ing (c) that it is felt that one new car may replace in cost and efficiency more than one old car and that it cannot be presently determined that more than one nid car was disposed of for the purpose of mostiring one new cars and (c) that at the end of the fiscal year, any net balance remaining in the fund indicating an expess of proceeds from sales over west of purchases will be covered into the United States Treasury as a miscellaneous receipt.

5. Reption 8 c' Public Les 800 provides as Polloges

"In purchasing motor-propelled or animal-dram wall plan or tracture, or road, agricultural, manufacturing, or lementary agricment, or boats, or parts, accessories, tires, or equipment thereof. or any other article or item the exchange of which is sutherized by law, the head of any department or his duly authorized repressend tive may - happe or sell similar items and apply the exchange allow noss or proceeds of sales in such cases in whole or in part payment therefor: PROVIDED, That any brancaction confled out under the nuthelity of this section shall be evidenced in westing.

d. In 27 Casp Can. 30 It was held, quoting from the syllabors

Maier = 1cm 8 of the administrative expense statute of insust 2, 1946, muthoriting application of the proceeds of sale or the anchese ellommos of used vehicles, etc., toward the purchase of mes civilar equipment, to or more old units of equipment may be braded in or and and the proceeds thereof applied thereof the purchase of a unit of new equipment if, in fact, the one is to be used as a replacement for the pla; however, if the old equipment is surplue, the exchange or sale that if in connection with the purchase of new ic unit arithmetical to the for disposition under the applicable provisions of the Surples Property to 1944."

Approved For Release 2001/99/03 : CIA-RDP84-00709R00040007012059

5. On the basis of this result, therefore, the practical referred to in 2.1. above should be adjusted to conform with the constraints reached in the foregoing decision. There a strong factual presentation has been made that two units may be exchanged for one there would appear to be no legal objection to the trade-in or sale of two or more units of old supposed and the purchase of a single unit of new equipment if the unit of new equipment, in fact, is to be used as a replacement for the two or more units of old equipment. However, as a gent all practice, it would definitely be opposed to the intendment of the statute and the interpretations placed thereon by the General Accounting Office in its decisions constraint the section involved. In this connection, 25 Cosp. Gen. 256 is partinent. Here it was held, quoting from the syllabors.

Finere, in the exchange of equipment under the provisions of section 0 of the administrative expense statute of August 2, 1946. The used cameras are exchanged for a new taners, which camera actually is in replacement of but one of the five old dynamic, the difference between the value of the replaced owners and the purchase prize of the new one is for charging against the applicable appropriation and for crediting to miscellaneous receipts in accordance with the provisions of the Surplus Property Act of 1946.

6. In this regard some of the language of this decision is interesting and is quoted directly below.

one item of old equipment. It is only in extraordinary circumstances, when the item of new equipment is so far advanced technically and performs the work so many times more afficiently that the old equipment, that it properly may be said that his new equipment is to take the place of more than one item of old equipment. Accordingly, on the basis of the present record it is to be presented that he had not been excluded that he presented it is to be presented that he had not been excluded that the head old known as not the five old known as the five

7. The practices referred to in paragraph 2.b. above do not appear to present any roal difficulty. In decision B-73M7, dated 2 February 1949, the Public Roads Administration requested approval of a procedure, where old equipment of the Public Roads Administration, need seasonally is disposed of prior to the issuance of purchase orders for replacements, that the proceeds of sale be credited to the Becaipt Account, 800660 Temposits, Proceeds of Sale, notor propolled vehicles, etc., 1949 Jederal Jackhons in such instances indicate that the monites owen proceeds of Sale season and that the end of the construction season and that administrative determination has been made to purchase similar squipment in the finest year and that subsequent accounting documents provide proper cross identification." The Comptroller General ruled that the proceeds of sale of tification."

Approved For Release 2001/09/03: CIA-RDP84-00709R000400070120-9

Acting Importive

16 June 1510

Local Stuff

25X1A

Accounting for Automobile Proceeds

1. The requirements of Section 8 of Pals 500 were the subject

of a monorandum from time office to the Cales, detect 22 April 1949. The questions beautioned in that monorandum are almost identical with those prosected by the dance management random from the Ching, Audit Franch. We have nothing to said to our analysis of the law, although it may be neight to resists our conclusiom.

2. The trade-in or sale of a nonexpectable unit and be reasonably related to the purchase of a substitute from points of time and identity. The transactions of purchase and sair is not have to be minultaneous, nor is a particular sequence in the required. Nowover, the purchase transaction must take place within the fiscal year of the sale. If the purchase is not a replaceant of a similar item (and reference to classes of material is not enfinient to eastrabilish the culationship), then the article disposed of sust be treated as surplus and the process described into the Transury under miscallamony receipts. Treefer as the establishment of a general fund to concurred, this to paraisable only when the procools of pale credited to a separate receipt account can be shown on the Schedule of Collections as money covering the sale, of equinent for the replacement of which is equilibrative delicularities has been made which reflects a dedication of funds by he addised later in the same flocal years

3. We must therefore concur with the conductions of the Chief. Audit Dreath, that the present practice does not couply with the requirements of Coption 8 of Pale 600 and should be replaced to conform. Honever, we also commun in the point of the buiges Officer in that the requirements are more or less of a technical accounting nature, and if the net balance of the nuto proceeds account at the present time is essent into the Treasury as miscallaneous receipts at the end of lie- 2000, there is no prejudice to the interest of the Covernment, and we are in a temple poster then to answer any subsequent criticies. Deswer, it is recommeded that the procedure be notified for future rears consequence with the flocal year 1991.

25X1A

STATINTL

cc: Subject logal Decivion

Appròved For Release 2001/09/03 : CIA-RDP84-00709R000400070120-9

11. The produce contemplated in paragraph 2.d. above should be harmonized with the statements of parturably bereds. Fastally, there rulings hold against the deposit of mash received in encess of a tangible allowance into a special receipt account, said cach excess to be made available to purchase additional equipment at a later date. In this connection the ecoclusions of 27 Comp. Gen. 1774178 and the ultimate fire ings of 28 Comp. Gen. 256 should be reviewed. The labor maintened decision involved a situation providing that five Recordsk common were to be used as an exchange allowance on one Recordsk camera. The bid received from the contractor specified \$2,400. as the amount of such exchange allowance and apparently fixed \$1,550, as the price of the new camera, thus resulting in an excess exchange allowance of \$850. which excent was received by the buryan of cansus and deposited temponarily in spreial Deposits. The agency then inquired whother, if such payment of cach was permissible, the amount of such payment might be deposited into the Special Fund Receipt Account. 6690, Proceeds of value, Notor Propelled Valueles, etc., and he made avallable to purchase additional storp-file equipment from Hagordak Corporation, masely micro-file possers, without which a micro-file casers was of no value. The Comptroller Separal hald that not only would it be required that the excess receipt from the proceeds of the sale be described and covered into the Treasury of the United States as a siscellaneous receipt but there also would be required to be deposited the difference between the value of the replaced unit and the balance allowed by the contractor toward the purchase price of the new unit.

12, for have also requested that this office furnish you with a clarification of the extent to which Section 8 of Public Law 608 applies to non-expensible equipment. It is apparent that fection 6 not only applies to those items which are specifically mentioned but to those which may logically be related. It should be noted that fection 8 also applies to any other article or item, the exchange of which is sufferized by low, invally this authority would be found in specific agency appropriation acute or is some act of general application to the various Separated departments and agencies. In the event that you are confronted with a sloubtful case the matter abould be referred to this office.

LAWRENCE R. HOUSTON General Counsel

oc: Lulyest Chions Dejal Mecicone